

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/731,247	12/10/2003	Kazuhiko Ohtsuki	1963.0150000/TGD/EDH	OH 5764		
26111 759	26111 7590 04/11/2006			EXAMINER		
•	SSLER, GOLDSTEIN ( RK AVENUE, N.W.	SPISICH, GEORGE D				
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3616			

DATE MAILED: 04/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del>-</del>		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>				
		10/731,24	7	OHTSUKI ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		George D.	•	3616					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHICI - Extens after S - If NO ( - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL sions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF TH 87 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever cation. ory period will apply and will , by statute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION  nt, however, may a reply be tim  l expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	N. lely filed the mailing date of this commur D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status									
1) 🔲	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	on							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition	on of Claims								
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-21 are subject to restriction	and/or election req	uirement.						
Application	on Papers								
9) 🗌 🗆	The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment	· ·								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	0.048)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		•				
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT No(s)/Mail Date			atent Application (PTO-152	)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-13, drawn to frame structure of a vehicle, classified in class 180, subclass 311.
- II. Claims 14-21, drawn to brake mechanism for drive shafts, classified in class 192, subclass 218.

Inventions I and II are directed to vehicle systems. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the frame structure arrangement of Invention I is mutually exclusive from the brake mechanism of Invention II.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Tracy – Gene G. Durkin on April 4, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George D. Spisich whose telephone number is (571) 272-6676. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00 to 6:30 except alt. Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/731,247

Art Unit: 3616

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on (571) 272-6669. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

George D. Spisich April 6, 2006

PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

で 中級のE DGY CENTER 3600